



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,369	10/22/2001	Severine Baudry	214709US2PCT	9247
22850 73	590 10/07/2005	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPI 1940 DUKE ST	VAK, MCCLELLAN erfet	WRIGHT, NORMAN M		
	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•		2134	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	D .	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/926,369		BAUDRY ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Norman M. Wri	ght	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time re SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a) <u></u>	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/29/04. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) old	d in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	t(s)			PRIMARYE	AMINER				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12402</u> .	5)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te)-152)				

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims contain recitation of "... allow registration of the images received relative to this origin". While the specification is written utilizing the same language, it does not describe or disclose in full concise details how one of ordinary skill in the art would make or use this aspect of the invention, without undue experimentation. It appears to be void of an explanation of how the received image and its origin allows the registration of the image.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for processing of watermarks images that do not require the original to read the embedded image/message, does not reasonably provide enablement for allowing registration of the image received relative to the origin. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. In particular the specification appears to be lacking a description of

Art Unit: 2134

how the registration of the image is achieved relative to the origin of the transmitted image.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 1-11, the registration of the received image is not understood from the specification and is being interpreted as the received image being recognizable, for the purpose of this rejection.

As per claims 3 and 9, the language regarding "...modifying luminance values of pixel with co-ordinate... homologous to those..." and "...performing a watermarking on all points of the image," is not clearly understood. It is respectfully requested that applicant indicates in his specification and drawings where support is for the claimed features. It is also noted that performing a watermark on all points is believed to be undeterminable, as any image would contain an infinite number of points.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2134

6. Claims 1-6, 9-10 as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EPO 840 513 A2, hereinafter '513.

As per claim 1, '513 teaches a process for watermarking images before transmission, having an embedded image, modifying data characteristics/ ECC/ PN mapper/ spectral transformer (collectively), co-watermarking, periodic embedding of a matrix/ segmenter, determine co-ordinates of the original image/pn mapper/ correlator, and reading the embedded image/extracted signal ('513 at abs., figs. 1-9, col. 4, lines 55 et seq., col. 5, lines 20 et seq., and lines 48 et seq., col. 6, lines 10 et seq., and col. 7-8 et seq.).

As per claims 2-6, 9-10, a binary matrix (col. 3, lines 10 et seq., col. 5, lines 48 et seq.), periodic and repetition of sub-images (col. 5, lines 55 et seq., and col. 6, lines 1 et seq.), chopping the image and successive shifts (col. 6, lines 8-25 et seq., col. 10, lines 13 et seq.), an processing DCT coefficients for sub-regions and pixels (col. 5, lines 30 et seq.), estimate of quality and reliability (col.6, lines 45 et seq. and col. 10, lines 35 et seq.).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norman M. Wright whose telephone number is (571) 272-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, from 8 am to 4 pm.

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norman M. Wright Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2134